wo

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL				
	Rufino Tenorio-Santiago	_ Case Nur	mber:	11-6	016M	
oresent and		de by a preponderance o			neld on January 25, 2011. Defendant wa e the defendant is a flight risk and order th	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	and the second of the second of	FINDINGS OF FAC	Т			
	eponderance of the evidence that:					
		he defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.				
×	The defendant, at the time of the	e defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.				
×	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custo Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been depoi or otherwise removed.					
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.					
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.					
$\boxtimes$	The defendant has a prior criminal history.					
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.					
	The defendant is an amnesty apsubstantial family ties to Mexico.	pplicant but has no subs	tantial	ties in	Arizona or in the United States and ha	
	There is a record of prior failure t	o appear in court as orde	ered.			
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.					
	The defendant is facing a maxim	um of	\	years ir	mprisonment.	
The at the time o	Court incorporates by reference the n f the hearing in this matter, except as	naterial findings of the Pre noted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF L		ervices	s Agency which were reviewed by the Cou	
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the de No condition or combination of condition of condition of condition of condition of conditions.	efendant will flee.	assure	the ap	ppearance of the defendant as required.	
a corrections appeal. The of the United	defendant is committed to the custod facility separate, to the extent practic defendant shall be afforded a reason States or on request of an attorney for the United States Marshal for the pu	y of the Attorney Genera able, from persons awaiti able opportunity for privat or the Government, the pe	I or his ng or s te cons erson i in con	s/her de serving sultatio n charq nectior	esignated representative for confinement in sentences or being held in custody pending in with defense counsel. On order of a courage of the corrections facility shall deliver the with a court proceeding.	
IT IS deliver a cop Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal of	this detention order be fi	led wit	the D	District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to ay prior to the hearing set before the Distric	
IT IS Services suf	FURTHER ORDERED that if a releaticiently in advance of the hearing being potential third party custodian.	se to a third party is to be fore the District Court to	conside allow	dered, i Pretria	t is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria Il Services an opportunity to interview an	
DA	TED this 26 <sup>th</sup> day of January	, 2011.				
		Sun				

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge